IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY

STATE OF MARYLAND

V .

CASE NOS. 199103042-46

ADNAN SYED,

Defendant

(MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL/DISPOSITION)

JUNE 6TH, 2000

BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE WANDA KEYES HEARD, ASSOCIATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

KEVIN URIK, ESQUIRE

For the Defendant:

CHARLES DORSEY, ESQUIRE

RETURN TO:

DELORES HAY OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 517 COURTHOUSE EAST 111 NORTH CALVERT STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21202 Office of the Attorney General 200 St. Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202 Tina Stavrou Attention: 410-576-6491

Please return by:

SEMMINAL APPEALS DIVISION TE ATTORNEY GENTRAD



1	JUNE 6TH, 2000
2	(PROCEEDINGS)
3	MR. URIK: Good morning, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Good morning.
5	MR. URIK: At this time calling State of Maryland
6	v. Adnan Syed, Case Number 199103042 through 46. Kevin Urik
7	for the State.
8	THE COURT: One second. We're here for
9	sentencing on the Adnan Syed case. Counsel?
10	MR. DORSEY: Good morning, Your Honor. Charles
11	Dorsey on behalf of Mr. Syed.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Dorsey, I am in receipt of motion
13	for new trial.
14	MR. DORSEY: That is correct, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: And I'm interested in hearing
16	argument on it. I have the State's response. Did you have
17	any supplement to file in
18	MR. DORSEY: No, Your Honor. Actually, we are
19	going to submit on the written motion at this particular
20	time.
21	THE COURT: Very well. I've read over it, and it
22	was initially submitted by Christina Gutierrez.
23	MR. DORSEY: That's correct, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: And I understand that you have
25	replaced her. Is that correct?

1 MR. DORSEY: That is correct, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: And it is your wish that I respond to

3 those, and I intend to do so. Is there anything the State

4 would like to add? I have your response as well.

5 MR. URIK: The State will submit on the written

6 record.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Very well. I have reviewed your motion, and with regard to the issues raised, first with regard the court's ruling, you first cite that there was a violation of due process by establishing through witnesses in cross examination the admissibility of a video tape or transcript of September 7th. That was a hearing in front of Judge Schwait -- no, Judge McCurdy -- I believe it was Judge McCurdy, that allegedly occurred. We never received the tape. We never looked at it. For the purposes of viewing it, the court received a summary from defense counsel as to what was included in that tape. And I held that it was not going to be utilized, and that it was extraneous and continued to be what I believed to be collateral issues, and I denied the motion. And I will stand by the court's ruling in that. And I have read your response, and I think that the court's appropriate ruling was not to go on basically what was going to be a fishing expedition.

Mr. Wiles was permitted to testify as to what

occurred, his reason for entering into plea negotiations and there was extensive cross on that, and I believe that that was the appropriate way to handle that.

Also, there was a request by counsel in the motion for new trial that the written agreement, the plea agreement between the State and Jay Wiles as it being additional benefits were not included in a written plea agreement, that there be some lack of violation of due process stemming out of that. And, again, I believe that there was extensive cross examination on the content of the written plea agreement, of the implication of any plea agreement, whether it be in writing or not. And, again, all of that was thoroughly exhausted by defense counsel during cross examination, and it was even raised by the State on its own, in its initial direct of the witness, Mr. Wiles. And I believe the jury had the benefit of that, and so I deny any motion that a new trial should be granted because of some error in that regard.

According to the motion, and I would read what the motion actually says: That the State failed to provide for potentially exculpatory evidence as required by the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, the Sixth Amendment right, to a fair trial under Brady v. Maryland, and its prodigy and that the Maryland Discovery Rules was violated, and that there was prosecutorial misconduct.

Based on all of those things, counsel moved for a 1 new trial. And I can tell you that I reviewed that 2 closely, and I also went through my notes, and it was my 3 feeling that all issues related to any conduct by the State were presented thoroughly. They were exhausted and argued 5 thoroughly, and the court was correct in its denying the 6 motion. I believe in some parts I actually granted some of the inquiry that led us a little bit astray from the main facts, but I allowed the State to respond to the defense's 9 concerns, and that was done on the record. 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I also suggested at that time that based on the information that was derived on the record, that it was more appropriate if there were any claims of prosecutorial misconduct, that they should be taken to another body. And I also noted that there wasn't anything in the record to indicate there was any violation of the defendant's rights as to any misconduct alleged by the defense. In fact, I found there to be no substantial misconduct that would violate the defendant's Fourteenth Amendment or any other due process rights and that he, in fact, received a fair trial.

I believe the State, in fact, bent over

backwards, and I know the court did, to aid and lend every

opportunity to the defense to try its case. For that

reason, that particular motion would also be denied.

Clearly, the verdict was not against the weight of the evidence. It was significant weight of evidence, and I would add that there was eye-witness testimony of Mr. Wiles indicating that he actually assisted the defendant in the burial of the victim. There was significant evidence presented by numerous witnesses as to potential motive, and I think that the verdict certainly was consistent with the evidence presented. And with regard to insufficient evidence, I would submit on the record that it was clear from the evidence that it was sufficient to find the defendant quilty.

I did not restrict the defense case. The sixth issue that was raised by the defense on the motion for new trial, was that I restricted their evidence. In fact, I think I let them go into areas that were somewhat extraneous and collateral to the main issues. But in order to allow the defense theory to be thoroughly developed, I allowed questions in. That was my discretion to do so, and I allowed a lot of latitude so that M's Guiterrez could investigate and pursue her theory of the case. And so I deny the motion in that I find that the court did act properly.

Number seven is the issue that for other reasons to be argued at the hearing on this motion following the defendant's review of the trial transcript, which is why I

- asked whether you had any additional argument.
- MR. DORSEY: No, Your Honor. We submit.
- THE COURT: Very well. So, with that said, the
- 4 motion for new trial is hereby denied. Any other
- 5 preliminary issues before I proceed with sentencing?
- MR. URIK: No, Your Honor. I did provide a copy
- 7 of a letter from the --

- 8 THE COURT: Yes.
- 9 MR. URIK: -- victim's brother, Yung Lee, and the
- victim's mother is here. I believe she would like to
- address the court during sentencing, too.
- 12 THE COURT: In terms of sentencing, I would wish
- 13 to hear from the State first.
- MR. DORSEY: That's no problem, Your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: And then from the defense and any
- 16 witnesses that you may have.
- 17 MR. DORSEY: May we sit?
- 18 THE COURT: You may be seated.
- 19 MR. DORSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Mr. Kim -- should she speak at this
- 21 table or at the stand or --
- THE COURT: At the stand, please.
- MR. URIK: The two of you can go over to the
- 24 stand.
- THE COURT: If we could just have one at a time.

MR. URIK: Mr. Kim translate for M's --1 2 THE COURT: All right. Very well. THE INTERPRETER: I'm an interpreter, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Very well. 5 MR. URIK: And the court recognizes the interpreter. 6 THE COURT: I'm going to need both of you to raise your right hands. 8 9 KEITH KIM, INTERPRETER, and YUNG AH KIM, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and 10 11 testified as follows: 12 THE COURT: You have to answer outloud. 13 stenographer has to record what you're saying. So, one at 14 a time, I need you to answer. Will you explain to her what I just said? 15 16 (The Interpreter conferred with witness). 17 THE COURT: Your responsibility as the 18 interpreter is to record, and respond, translate everything 19 that's being said. You need to now tell her what I just 20 said. 21 (The Interpreter conferred with witness). 22 THE COURT: Translate for her the oath. 23 THE INTERPRETER: (Complies). 24 THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: And your answer to the oath is yes?

Τ.	THE ATTAMOS, TES.
2	THE INTERPRETER: Yes.
3	THE WITNESS: And your answer to the oath
4	obviously is yes.
5	THE INTERPRETER: Yes.
6	THE COURT: I need you to first sit down.
7	(The witness takes the stand).
8	THE COURT: The interpreter, please state your
9	name and your address for the record.
10	THE INTERPRETER: My name is Keith Kim. I'm a
11	court approved interpreter.
12	THE COURT: Very well. Thank you very much. And
13	your name?
14	THE WITNESS: Yung Kim, Yung Ah Kim.
15	THE INTERPRETER: Yung Ah Kim.
16	THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Urik.
17	EXAMINATION BY MR. URIK
18	(Answers given by Interpreter):
19	Q Good morning.
20	A Good morning.
21	Q Did you have something you wish to tell the court
22	this morning?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Would you please tell the court what you want the
25	court to know about this case?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And if you would, pause occasionally, so that Mr.
- 3 Kim can translate for the record.
- A Yes.
- 5 Q Okay. If you would begin at this time.
- A How are you? I'm the mother of Hey Men Lee. In
- 7 Korean proverb there is a saying that parents die, they
- 8 bury in the ground, but when children die, they bury in
- 9 their hearts. I heard of those proverbs, but I never
- realized it was so difficult for me, and my family. It's
- 11 truly the most excruciating period in my life. Our
- 12 daughter, my daughter, our daughter was so precious to us
- and everybody surrounding us. My daughter never give us
- 14 any problem whatsoever and always solved any kind of
- 15 difficult problem on her own usually, and has always been a
- 16 good daughter.
- 17 She never, always did well at school, and always
- 18 did well at home and also she always said, I love you,
- 19 Mother, and several times, always repeating, that she
- 20 always showed love and affection in the family, and always
- 21 cared about everything in her life and in her family, and
- 22 solved all the problems very well.
- 23 Her hope and aspiration was my hope and
- 24 aspiration, and her dream was my dream, and she always
- 25 wanted to be a good person in her life as well as her

- 1 society. The day in 1999, the day she disappeared, she
- 2 always hoped she would appear, and she was always outside
- 3 looking for her and always wondering where she could be,
- 4 and she was desperate and hopeful that she will appear.
- 5 Since her death, my health deteriorated so badly,
- and I am attending medical centers for treatment.
- 7 THE COURT: One second. Would you gentlemen at
- 8 the door have a seat. Thank you. You may continue. I'm
- 9 sorry. Ask her to repeat.
- 10 THE WITNESS: No one would know what kind of
- suffering that I have, and if I have to tell all the
- suffering that I had, it would take the rest of my life and
- 13 beyond in order to do so.
- 14 Her marriage in California wasn't a right one,
- and therefore in order to have her a new life and her
- daughter and son a new life, she had to come over here to
- 17 Maryland. I came to America because she was such a nice
- daughter, and in order to give her a future, we came to
- America so that she could have a decent education and a
- 20 decent future.
- I have my child, and I know how people a mother
- 22 feels about their child, and therefore, I would like to
- 23 forgive Adnan Syed, but as of now, I just don't know I
- 24 could forgive Adnan Syed at this time. I just don't know
- 25 how to do that, and I just cannot do that right now.

- When I die, when I die my daughter will die with 1 As long as I live, my daughter is buried in my heart. 2 I don't know where to hear her voice. I don't know where 3 to touch her hand. I don't know whatsoever, whatever. (Sobbing). I wish a sentence would be appropriate as my daughter suffered, as long as Maryland 6 7 law allows it. Thank you. 8 THE COURT: Thank you very much.
- 9 (The witness left the witness stand). 10 THE COURT: Mr. Urik, do you have anything else? 11 MR. URIK: Very briefly, Your Honor. On the 9th 12 of January, 1999, this defendant had the world before him. He was on the verge of manhood, and had every evidence that 13 it would be a very good manhood. He came from a close and 15 loving family that was very moral and very good people, who 16 had taught to instruct him as a young man should be and 17 lead him into a good life. He had instruction in religion 18 and, in fact, in January the high point of the religion was 19 coming, which were the holidays.
 - This is a young man who was finishing up at
 Woodlawn high school in the magnet program, where he had
 been an honor student. He had probably access to almost
 any college that he wanted to go to, and any profession.
 He had plans of being a medical doctor, and towards that
 was working as a paramedic, had medical training and was

21

22

23

24

working as a paramedic.

Every indication was that adulthood was going to 2 be a very good one for him, and then he took his first 3 adult step, and what he did shows that there is no 5 mitigation here, that everything that normally would be promised through the family, through religion do not 6 mitigate here because this was a defendant who had every 7 opportunity, knew better, could have done better and chose 8 9 deliberately not to solely because of hurt and pride. He 10 chose to take a life. He took the skills that he had as a paramedic and 11 12 used them to kill. Skills that are designed to save life, 13 he used to take it and his motivation was hurt and pride. During the period of Romadahn (ph.), the Moslem holiday, 14 15 when he should have been observing his religious practices, he's planning to kill and, in fact, kills someone. 16 17 turned against every principle, every value that he had. He's had every opportunity. There's nothing to mitigate, 18 19 nothing to excuse, explain. You had here a deliberate adult act that was 20 21 reprehensible and has no excuse. It has no mitigation. 22 The State would recommend the maximum sentence possible, specifically as to Case Number 199103042, first degree 23 murder, we would ask the imposition of a life sentence. 24 In Case Number 199103043, kidnapping, we would ask 25

- 1 consecutively the thirty year maximum sentence for that.
- In Case Number 199103045, robbery, ten years consecutive to
- 3 the life plus thirty, for a total of life plus forty. In
- 4 Case Number 199103045, the false imprisonment, I believe,
- 5 and what I confess is that that should merge into the
- 6 kidnapping for purposes of sentencing. No separate
- 7 sentence should be imposed on that.
- 8 THE COURT: Which count are you talking about?
- 9 MR. URIK: Count Five, the false imprisonment --
- THE COURT: False imprisonment, okay.
- MR. URIK: -- which I believe should merge into
- 12 kidnapping as one of the included counts. I did provide a
- 13 copy of the sentencing guidelines to the court. The
- 14 sentencing guidelines that in this case a sentence of life
- 15 plus ten to life plus eighteen would be an appropriate
- 16 sentence. That would be the guideline range. I'm asking
- for life plus forty, which is fully justified under the
- 18 facts of this case.
- THE COURT: Thank you very much. I'll hear from
- 20 the defense.
- MR. DORSEY: Your Honor, this is a very difficult
- 22 case. We have lost in the community a life of a quality
- 23 individual. My client and his family feel for the family.
- 24 As her life has ended, his basically has ended as well,
- 25 Your Honor.

Your Honor, my client was 17 at this, when this
happened, in a relationship and in love, as much as a 17
year old could know about love, with someone out of his
own, out of his culture, different religion, different
cultural background, confused. Your Honor, I would ask
that this Honorable Court if it would consider this case
more of a crime of passion than of intent to kill.

My client comes from a quality family of quality
religion. He made a bad decision, and I ask this Honorable

my client comes from a quality family of quality religion. He made a bad decision, and I ask this Honorable Court to have mercy on him, consider possibly a sentence within the guidelines that would give this young man an opportunity to somehow make up for this mistake in his life.

At this particular time, you have the right to address the court. You don't have to say anything at all. Is there anything that you would like to say to the judge before she imposes her sentence?

maintained my innocence, and I don't know why people have said the things that they have said that I have done or that they have done. I understand that I've been through a trial, and I've been found guilty by a jury, and I accept that. Not because I agree with what they did. I respectfully disagree with their judgment; however, I accept it, and there's nothing at this point that I can do

- except to be sentenced and to go on with the next step,
- which is to file my appeal.
- I have maintained my innocence from the
- 4 beginning, and to my family and to those who have believed
- 5 in me since the beginning, I would just like them to know
- 6 that it is for a reason. I can only ask for the mercy of
- 7 the court in sentencing me, and I can only remain strong in
- 8 my faith and hope that one day I shall have another chance
- 9 in court.
- 10 I'm just sorry for all the pain that this has
- 11 caused everyone.
- 12 THE COURT: There has been a significant amount
- of pain and great sorrow not only, or I can't begin to
- describe or even hope to understand the pain that a mother
- would feel upon the death of their child. That's assuming
- even that the child would die under natural causes, but to
- find out that your child has disappeared and that her body
- is found buried in a park, and that the person who is
- 19 charged and convicted of her murder is one who claimed to
- 20 have loved her. Indeed that would be great sorrow and pain
- 21 that I could not even begin to understand.
- 22 Both you and the person whose life you chose to
- end, unlike so many others, had the world in front of you.
- Not only did you have support of family, but you had the
- intelligence, the intellect, the physical strength and the

- ability to do anything you wanted. Anything at all.
- I disagree with you, Counsel. This wasn't a
- 3 crime of passion. The evidence, as I recall it to be and
- the jury found by its first degree conviction, meant
- 5 premeditated with malice aforethought, as we say in the
- 6 law. That means you thought about it. The evidence was,
- 7 there was a plan, and you used that intellect. You used
- 8 that physical strength. You used that charismatic ability
- 9 of yours that made you the president or the -- what was it,
- the king or the prince of your prom? You used that to
- 11 manipulate people. And even today, I think you continue to
- manipulate even those that love you, as you did to the
- victim. You manipulated her to go with you to her death.
- 14 The sentence of the court on the charge of murder
- in the first degree under case Number 199103042 is life.
- The sentence of the court under the kidnapping,
- under 199103043 is a consecutive term of thirty years.
- And the robbery, under 199103045, I sentence you
- 19 to a period of ten years, concurrent with the kidnapping
- 20 count, which is running consecutive 042.
- I find that the false imprisonment does, in fact,
- 22 merge and will fall out by application of law.
- I also find that your concern for your appeal is
- 24 a good one. Your attorney is going to explain to you your
- 25 appellate rights. Why don't you do that?

1	MR. DORSEY: I will, Your Honor. Sir, you have
2	thirty days to file an application for leave of appeal to
3	the Court of Special Appeals. I have already filled it
4	out, and my law clerk will be taking it over to file it
5	today.
6	You understand you also have ninety days to ask
7	this Honorable Court to modify or meduce the sentence. It
8	has to be done in writing and filed with the Clerk's Office
9	in Baltimore City.
10	You also have the right to have a three-judge
11	panel
12	THE COURT: One second. Don't cuff him yet.
13	MR. DORSEY: You have the right to have a three-
14	judge panel review this case. Judge Heard wouldn't be part
15	of that three-judge panel, although they could confer with
16	her and ask her why she gave you the sentence that she gave
17	you. Now, you understand that this wasn't a plea
18	agreement, so they could raise your sentence, they could
19	keep it the same or they could lower it.
20	THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
21	MR. DORSEY: Do you understand?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Counsel, under the rules, I have to
24	ndries him since this was a srime of wielenge that he/s

received a life sentence. That's subject to any other

1	sentence that the defendant may be serving. The defendant
2	must serve a minimum of fifteen years of this sentence
3	before becoming eligible for any parole. As it stands in
4	the State of Maryland, the governor is not entertaining any
5	parole for those sentenced for life imprisonment.
6	I am advising you of this pursuant to Article 27,
7	Section 640. Now, you may take him.
8	MR. DORSEY: May I be excused, Your Honor?
9	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
10	MR. URIK: If I may be excused?
11	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
12	MR. URIK: Thank you.
13	
14	(CONCLUSION OF PROCEEDINGS)
15	
16	* * * * * * * *
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	
2	TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE
3	
4	This is to certify that the proceedings in the
5	matter of State of Maryland v. Adnan Syed, Case Numbers
6	199103042-46, heard on June 6th, 2000, were recorded by
7	means of video tape.
8	I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages
9	constitute the official transcript of said video taped
10	proceedings to the best of my ability in a complete and
11	accurate manner.
12	In witness whereof, I have hereunto subscribed my
13	name this day of August, 2000.
14	
15	
16	
17	Man and I would be a second of the second of
18	DELORES HAY, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	